arXiv:quant-ph/9903020v1 4 Mar 1999

QUON STATISTICS FOR COMPOSITE SYSTEMS AND A LIMIT ON THE VIOLATION OF THE PAULI PRINCIPLE FOR NUCLEONS AND QUARKS

O.W. Greenberg[1]
Center for Theoretical Physics
Department of Physics
University of Maryland
College Park, MD 20742-4111
and
Robert C. Hilborn[2]
Department of Physics
Amherst College
Amherst, MA 01002-5000

University of Maryland Physics Paper 99-089
quant-ph/9903020

Abstract

The quon algebra gives a description of particles, "quons," that are neither fermions nor bosons. The parameter q attached to a quon labels a smooth interpolation between bosons, for which $q = +1$, and fermions, for which $q = -1$. Wigner and Ehrenfest and Oppenheimer showed that a composite system of identical bosons and fermions is a fermion if it contains an odd number of fermions and is a boson otherwise. Here we generalize this result to composite systems of identical quons. We find $q_{composite} = q_{constituent}^{n^2}$ for a system of n identical quons. This result reduces to the earlier result for bosons and fermions. Using this generalization we find bounds on possible violations of the Pauli exclusion principle for nucleons and quarks based on such bounds for nuclei.

[1]email address, owgreen@physics.umd.edu.

[2]email address, rchilborn@amherst.edu

The celebrated spin-statistics theorem [1] gives the spin-statistics connection that lies at the heart of the quantum mechanics of many-body systems: spin one-half particles are fermions and integer spin particles are bosons. Wigner [2] and Ehrenfest and Oppenheimer [3] found the fundamental result that composite systems of identical bosons and fermions are bosons, unless they have an odd number of fermions, in which case they are fermions. Given the usual rules for addition of angular momenta, the Wigner–Oppenheimer-Ehrenfest (WEO) result extends the spin-statistics connection to composite systems. The WEO result requires that all the composites must be in the same internal quantum state and that the interactions between the composites must be sufficiently weak not to change the internal states of the composites. In this letter we generalize the WEO result to cases where the bound states are composed of identical particles that need not be either bosons or fermions.

Recently one of us introduced a formalism, using the quon algebra, that can describe small violations of the usual spin-statistics connection [4]. In this formalism identical particles can occur in states associated with a mixture of different representations of the symmetric group, with the weights of the mixture dependent on a parameter q. To introduce the quon algebra, recall that bosons are described by the algebra of commutators of the creation and annihilation operators

$$a(k)a^\dagger(l) - a^\dagger(l)a(k) = \delta(k,l), \tag{1}$$

and fermions are described by the algebra of anticommutators of the creation and annihilation operators,

$$a(k)a^\dagger(l) + a^\dagger(l)a(k) = \delta(k,l). \tag{2}$$

The quon algebra is the convex sum of these two expressions, where Eq.(1) is multiplied by $(1+q)/2$, Eq.(2) is multiplied by $(1-q)/2$, and the equations are added. For the sum to

be convex q must be between -1 and 1. Several authors have shown that when q is in this range all the squared norms of states are positive. [5] Thus the quon algebra is

$$a(k)a^\dagger(l) - qa^\dagger(l)a(k) = \delta(k,l). \tag{3}$$

Just as in the case of Bose or Fermi statistics we choose the Fock-like representation in which

$$a(k)|0\rangle = 0. \tag{4}$$

For $q = 1$ we recover Bose statistics and for $q = -1$ we get Fermi statistics. The probabilities for two identical particles that are quons to be in the symmetric or the antisymmetric state can be found by calculating the following matrix element using the rules just given,

$$(a^\dagger(k_1)a^\dagger(k_2)|0\rangle, a^\dagger(l_1)a^\dagger(l_2)|0\rangle) = \tag{5}$$

$$\delta(k_1,l_1)\delta(k_2,l_2) + q\delta(k_1,l_2)\delta(k_2,l_1) = \tag{6}$$

$$\frac{1+q}{2}[\delta(k_1,l_1)\delta(k_2,l_2)+\delta(k_1,l_2)\delta(k_2,l_1)] + \frac{1-q}{2}[\delta(k_1,l_1)\delta(k_2,l_2)-\delta(k_1,l_2)\delta(k_2,l_1)], \tag{7}$$

where we recognize the quantities in the square brackets as the scalar products of the symmetric and antisymmetric states of two particles. For systems with more than two identical particles there will also be many-dimensional representations of the symmetric group. These occur with q-dependent probabilities. For $q \to 1$ (-1) the more symmetric (antisymmetric) representations are more heavily weighted. To simplify notation we assume that spin and/or isospin variables and other variables are included in the space or momentum variables.

We want to find a result for the quon statistics of composite states analogous to that of Wigner and of Ehrenfest and Oppenheimer under the corresponding conditions for the internal states and their interactions. First, we note that in generating the Fock-like states from the vacuum the order of operators is significant in a composite state of quons; in fact for an n-body composite all $n!$ states with permutations of the orders of the creation operators are linearly independent (provided all the operators carry different quantum numbers). This occurs because quons can occupy states associated with all the representations of the symmetric group. Secondly, we point out that a bound state of quons will be in a single irreducible representation, r, of the symmetric group and thus its wave function will have a linear combination of terms with the creation operators in permuted orders. Since matter is made of electrons and nucleons which are both fermions or, if quons, very close to fermions, the most relevant case is when the quons are in an antisymmetric

state, $r = a$, i.e., have q close to -1. Our purpose here is to find the statistical behavior of many-particle systems of such bound states. To our surprise, however, the statistical behavior of the many-quon bound states is independent of r. The only way the representation r enters is in the normalization of the individual bound states. To make this clear we first consider a single bound state.

For a nonrelativistic theory we can represent the bound-state creation operator in terms of the creation operators for the constituents as

$$b^\dagger(x) = N_r \int \prod_{i=1}^{n} d^3y_i f^{(n)}(x-y_1,\cdots,x-y_n) \sum_P c_r(P) : a^\dagger(y_{P1})\cdots a^\dagger(y_{Pn}) : \qquad (8)$$

or, in momentum space, as

$$\tilde{b}^\dagger(p) = \tilde{N}_r \int \prod_{i=1}^{n} d^3k_i \delta(p - \sum_1^n k_i) \tilde{f}^{(n)}(k_1,\cdots,k_n) \sum_P c_r(P) : \tilde{a}^\dagger(k_{P1})\cdots\tilde{a}^\dagger(k_{Pn}) : . \qquad (9)$$

The sums run over the $n!$ permutations of the symmetric group S_n and our permutations are place permutations rather than label permutations, since place permutations are defined even when some of the quantum numbers carried by the operators are the same. The coefficients $c_r(P)$ pick a sum of products of operators that are in the representation r of S_n.

To normalize the bound state we require

$$(b^\dagger(x)|0\rangle, b^\dagger(x')|0\rangle = \delta(x-x'). \qquad (10)$$

To avoid repetition we only discuss the situation in x-space; the calculations in momentum space are similar. The normalization condition has the form

$$|N_r(q)|^2 \mathcal{P}_r(q) = 1, \qquad (11)$$

where $\mathcal{P}_r$ depends on n (it has degree $n(n-1)/2$ in q) and also depends on $f^{(n)}$. The q-dependence comes from the scalar product

$$(: a^\dagger(y_{P1})\cdots a^\dagger(y_{Pn}) : |0\rangle, : a^\dagger(y_{P1'})\cdots a^\dagger(y_{Pn'}) : |0\rangle). \qquad (12)$$

The graphical rules given in [4] allow calculation of this scalar product. Place the operators on one side of the scalar product on one line and those on the other side

of the product on a similar line below the first. Each contribution to the vacuum matrix element comes from a product of contractions in which a creation operator on the top line is paired with a creation operator on the line below to give a δ-function. Each set of contractions is associated with a permutation R that takes

the operators in their original order on the top line and rearranges them to the order of the operators with which they are contracted. The power of q associated with the product of δ-functions coming from a given set of contractions is the inversion number $i(R)$ of this permutation, which equals the minimum number of crossings of these lines. Since each operator on one line can pair with every operator in the other line, there will be $n!$ terms. This rule is a generalization of Wick's theorem in which the usual plus or minus signs are replaced by $q^{i(R)}$.

We now calculate the analog of Eq.(7) with the composite $b^\dagger$'s replacing the $a^\dagger$'s. The essential issue in the calculation concerns the algebra of the creation operators; the wavefunctions just play the role of deciding which operators are connected with a given bound state. Thus only the operator part of the calculation is relevant. There will be $(n!)^2$ terms in which the order of the bound states on the two sides of the scalar product is preserved but the order of the operators in each of the two bound states is permuted in $n!$ ways. These terms will give two factors of the polynomial $\mathcal{P}_r$ that we found in the normalization of the bound state, one for each bound state. The normalization factors obey Eq.(11) so they exactly cancel $\mathcal{P}_r$.

The contributions to the scalar product when the bound states are interchanged have a relative factor of q^{n^2} in addition to the factor of $\mathcal{P}_r^2$ just discussed. To see this consider the case in which the bound states are interchanged, but the order of the operators inside each bound state is preserved. Draw lines from each operator in the left bound state on the top line to each operator in the right bound state on the bottom line preserving the order of the operators in the bound states. Do the same from each operator in the right bound state on the top line to the left bound state on the bottom line. The n^2 crossings give the relative factor of q^{n^2} between the terms that are interchanged and those that are not. A similar argument shows that there is a relative factor of q^{n^2} for all the terms.

The remaining $(2n)! - 2(n!)^2$ terms correspond to cases in which creation operators in one bound state on one side of the scalar product contract with creation

operators in *both* bound states on the other side of the scalar product. These terms don't correspond to interchange of the bound state just as they do not in the usual Bose and Fermi cases. Here and below we can drop these terms provided we assume, as in the usual case for the statistics of bound states, that the interaction between the bound states is negligible compared to the interaction that binds the constituents in each bound state. Thus we have established that the analog of Eq.(7) for the bound states is

$$(b^\dagger(p_1)b^\dagger(p_2)|0\rangle, b^\dagger(r_1)b^\dagger(r_2)|0\rangle) = \tag{13}$$

$$\delta(p_1,r_1)\delta(p_2,r_2) + q^{n^2}\delta(p_1,r_2)\delta(p_2,r_1) = \tag{14}$$

$$\frac{1+q^{n^2}}{2}[\delta(p_1,r_1)\delta(p_2,r_2)+\delta(p_1,r_2)\delta(p_2,r_1)]+\frac{1-q^{n^2}}{2}[\delta(p_1,r_1)\delta(p_2,r_2)-\delta(p_1,r_2)\delta(p_2,r_1)]. \tag{15}$$

We can now represent the $n!$ lines that connect a bound state on the left hand side of a scalar product to a bound state on the right hand side by a superline. When we use our generalized Wick's theorem to calculate matrix elements of the bound state operators we find the same result as for a single quon operator except that the number of crossings of superlines replaces the number of crossings of lines so that q^{n^2} replaces q. Thus bound states of n quons with parameter q have quon statistics with parameter q^{n^2}. [6, 7] This generalization of the Wigner–Ehrenfest-Oppenheimer rule is the main result of this letter.

Since Bose and Fermi statistics correspond to $q = 1$ and $q = -1$, respectively, we expect the Wigner–Ehrenfest-Oppenheimer rule to emerge in the limit $q \to \pm 1$. Indeed this is true since n and n^2 are even or odd together.

The composite statistics rule is important in establishing the statistical behavior of identical nuclei in molecules for example. Similar issues arise in the collective behavior of Cooper pairs in BCS-type superconductivity [15] and superfluid behavior in ^3He [16]. The crucial condition for this rule, as pointed out by Ehrenfest and Oppenheimer, is

that all the composites must be in the same internal state and the interactions between the composites must be sufficiently weak so as not to change the internal states. In other words the interaction energies among the composites must be small

compared to the energy separation of the internal states. This condition is obviously well satisfied for nuclei in molecules where the interactions are on the order of electron volts and the internal energy differences are on the order of MeV. On the other hand nitrogen atoms taken individually are fermions (seven electrons, seven protons and seven neutrons for ^{14}N) but the N_2 molecule is permutation symmetric under the interchange of the two ^{14}N nuclei; the statistics of the individual atoms is no

longer relevant once the atoms interact to form the molecule.

We now apply the composite statistics rule to nuclei. We don't expect experiments using bound states of many electrons, i.e., atoms, to improve the extremely high-precision bound for electrons due to E. Ramberg and G.A. Snow [8]. On the other hand experiments with nuclei may give significant bounds on the statistics of nucleons, for which there are at present no direct precision experimental tests. For nuclei that are bound states of A almost-Fermi nucleons the result is immediate. If A is even so the nucleus is close to Bose with parameter $1 \geq q_B = 1 - \epsilon$, then the constituent nucleons are quons with parameter $-1 \leq q_{nucleon} = -1 + \epsilon/A^2$. If A is odd so the nucleus is close to Fermi with parameter $-1 \leq q_F = -1 + \epsilon$, then the constituent nucleons are quons with parameter $-1 \leq q_{nucleon} = -1 + \epsilon/A^2$. Thus the bound on the deviation of the nucleons from Fermi statistics is *improved* over the bound on the deviation of the nucleus by a factor of $1/A^2$. This analysis can be extended to quarks bound in nucleons or other baryons, for which $q^9_{quark} = q_{baryon}$.

Empirical bounds on violations of statistics found up to 1989 are reviewed in [9]. More recent experiments have set stringent upper limits on the violation of the spin-statistics connection for electrons [8, 10] and ^{16}O nuclei [11, 12]. DeMille and Derr have found a bound on violation of Bose statistics for photons [13]. From a sensitive $C^{16}O_2$

molecular spectroscopy experiment Modugno, Ingusicio, and Tino [14] have been able to show that the probability of finding the two ^{16}O nuclei (spin 0) in carbon dioxide in a permutation antisymmetric state is less than 5×10^{-9}.

The ^{16}O nucleus is of course a composite system of eight protons and eight neutrons. Applying the results derived above, we can interpret the experimental limit on spin-statistics violations for ^{16}O as setting a limit of $1 + q_{nucleon} \leq 2 \times 10^{-11}$

for nucleons. We believe this is the first precision limit on the violation of the spin-statistics connection for nucleons linked directly to an experimental measurement. Other limits on violations of the Exclusion Principle for nucleons have relied on arguments based on models of the solar p-p cycle [17] (from which a limit of about 10^{-15} is claimed) or arguments based on models for isotopic elemental production in supernovae core collapses [18]. In the latter case there are many uncertainties in the model for the collapse and the precision mass spectrometry searches for unusual isotopes have yet to be

done. Extending the analysis to quarks within the model of nucleons as bound states of 3 quarks, yields $1 + q_{quark} \leq 2 \times 10^{-12}$ for quarks.

There is yet another way to extend existing experimental limits. In a recent paper Greenberg and Hilborn [19] have argued that an experimental limit on the spin-statistics violations for one type of particle, say electrons, can be used to set a limit on spin-statistics violations for particles with which the original particles interact. For example it is possible to extend the stringent limit $1 + q < 10^{-26}$ for a spin-statistics violation for

electrons from the Ramberg-Snow experiment [8] to set a limit on a possible spin-statistics connection violation for photons.

The theorem proved in this paper provides the quon generalization of the

standard rule for the statistics of composite systems (fermion behavior for an odd number of fermions; otherwise boson behavior occurs). In accord with the original work by Wigner and by Ehrenfest and Oppenheimer, we have shown that the generalized rule $q_{composite} = q_{constituent}^{n^2}$ holds as long as the composites are all in the same internal state and the interaction energies among the composites can be ignored compared to the differences in internal state energies (i.e. the composites are tightly bound).

References

[1] W. Pauli, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré **6**, 137 (1936);

M. Fierz, Helv. Phys. Acta **12**, 3 (1939); W. Pauli, Phys. Rev. **58**, 716 (1940); S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. **133**, B1318 (1964) and **134**, B882 (1964); W. Pauli, Prog. Theor. Physics **5**, 526 (1950).

[2] E.P. Wigner, Math. und Naturwiss. Anzeiger der Ungar. Ak. der Wiss. **46**, 576 (1929).

[3] P. Ehrenfest and J.R. Oppenheimer, Phys. Rev. **37**, 333 (1931).

[4] O.W. Greenberg, Phys. Rev. D **43**, 4111 (1991).

[5] D. Zagier, Commun. Math. Phys. **147**, 199 (1992); M. Bozėjko and R. Speicher, Commun. Math. Phys. **137**, 519 (1991); R. Speicher, Lett.Math.Phys. **27**, 97 (1993); D.I. Fivel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 3361 (1990); erratum, ibid **69**, 2020 (1992).

[6] This follows from the reconstruction theorem. See R.F. Streater and A.S. Wightman, *PCT, Spin & Statistics, and All That* (W.A. Benjamin, New York, 1964).

[7] To impose the condition that the quons are in an antisymmetric (symmetric) state, we simply choose the $f^{(n)}$ to be antisymmetric (symmetric). Then the q-dependent terms factor from the terms that depend on the wave function. Explicit forms for the analog of $\mathcal{P}_a$ (or, $\mathcal{P}_s$) for the first few values of n are 1, $1-q$, $1-2q+2q^2-q^3$. (For the symmetric case, replace the $-$ signs by $+$ signs.)

[8] E. Ramberg and G. Snow, Phys. Lett. B **238**, 438 (1990).

[9] O.W. Greenberg and R.N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. D **39**, 2032 (1989).

[10] K. Deilamian, J. D. Gillaspy, and D. E. Kelleher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 4787 (1995).

[11] M. de Angelis, G. Gagliardi, L. Gianfrani, and G. Tino, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 2840 (1996).

[12] R. C. Hilborn and C. L. Yuca, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 2844 (1996).

[13] D. DeMille and N. Derr, in preparation, (1999).

[14] G. Modugno, M. Inguscio, and G. M. Tino, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 4790 (1998).

[15] J. R. Schrieffer, *Theory of Superconductivity* (W. A. Benjamin, New York, 1964).

[16] I.M. Khalatnikov, *An Introduction to the Theory of Superfluidity* (W.A. Benjamin, New York, 1965).

[17] R. Plaga, Z. Phys. A **333**, 397 (1990).

[18] E. Baron, R. N. Mohapatra, and V. L. Teplitz, UMD-PP-99-014 (1998).

[19] O. W. Greenberg and R. C. Hilborn, Found. Phys. **29**, to appear, March, 1999.